



3138 10th Street North  
Arlington, VA 22201-2149  
703.842.2215 | 800.336.4644  
f: 703.522.2734  
dberger@nafcu.org | nafcu.org

**National Association of Federally-Insured Credit Unions**

**B. Dan Berger**  
President & Chief Executive Officer

May 3, 2022

The Honorable Dick Durbin  
Chairman  
Committee on the Judiciary  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Chuck Grassley  
Ranking Member  
Committee on the Judiciary  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

**Re: Tomorrow's Hearing on "Excessive Swipe Fees and Barriers to Competition in the Credit and Debit Card Systems"**

Dear Chairman Durbin and Ranking Member Grassley:

I write to you today on behalf of the National Association of Federally-Insured Credit Unions (NAFCU) to share our thoughts on how government interference with debit interchange as part of the *Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act* (Dodd-Frank Act) has harmed community financial institutions and consumers, and to express our strong opposition to any expansion of price controls and market manipulation related to credit or debit interchange. NAFCU advocates for all federally-insured not-for-profit credit unions that, in turn, serve over 130 million consumers with personal and small business financial service products. We appreciate the opportunity to share our thoughts on this incredibly important issue.

The electronic payments system is a two-sided market, with consumers on one side and merchants on the other. Both sides benefit from the arrangement, with card networks setting interchange rates based on the cost of doing business and the benefit to consumers and merchants. In the wake of the pandemic, many merchants are requesting cashless payments for employee safety and to streamline their operations. This is evidence that the electronic payments system offers real value to merchants and consumers alike. Ultimately, merchants receive far more value from accepting electronic payments than they pay in interchange fees. Any new caps or restrictions on interchange fees would only hurt community institutions such as credit unions as well as the American consumer.

When a consumer uses a credit or debit card to pay for a transaction, the merchant pays an interchange fee, or "swipe" fee, to the card-issuing bank for the benefits they receive from that transaction (such as immediate payment). Interchange fees cover a variety of expenses, including the cost of customer service, system improvements, online transactions, data security, and card production. Furthermore, interchange fees can vary significantly depending on whether or not a card was present for the transaction, whether the data is complete, the category of merchant, whether the card is credit or debit, the brand of the card, and whether the owner of the card is an individual, business or other entity. They are not monolithic by any means.

The Honorable Dick Durbin, Chairman  
The Honorable Chuck Grassley, Ranking Member  
May 3, 2022  
Page 2 of 3

Section 1075 of the Dodd-Frank Act, commonly referred to as the “Durbin amendment,” imposed a cap on debit interchange fees for covered banks and credit unions, defined as those with assets of more than \$10 billion, and established new routing network requirements for debit cards. Notwithstanding the fact that the vast majority of credit unions fall well below this \$10 billion exemption threshold, many have seen a precipitous erosion of per-transaction debit interchange income despite being told that they were “exempted” by amendment supporters. Advocates argued the \$10 billion threshold would protect the smallest institutions from the negative impacts of this amendment. They were wrong. This is now demonstrably false as shown by multiple *governmental* studies and surveys on the issue. For example, an annual survey by the Federal Reserve found that since 2016 more than 50 percent of credit unions with assets below the \$10 billion threshold have seen their per-transaction interchange rates decrease.

While the intent of the “Durbin amendment” was to prevent card-issuers and networks from unfairly charging merchants higher rates and thus passing higher costs along to consumers, the evidence overwhelmingly suggests that it has not helped everyday Americans. According to Federal Reserve data, this amendment has taken away \$6-8 billion per year from the revenue that banks and credit unions use to serve their customers and members. The erosion of interchange fees is particularly hard on credit unions that are statutorily limited in what products they may offer and investments they can make. While a bank may be able to turn to capital markets or choose other investments, credit unions have very few options to replace this lost income. As not-for-profits, this ultimately hurts what they can do for their members. There is no evidence that merchants have passed along their savings to consumers in the form of price cuts, and the regulatory burden and loss of revenue for banks and credit unions has led to industry consolidation and the difficult choice to charge for services that were once free, such as checking accounts.

A new February 2022 [GAO report](#) found that the “Durbin amendment” was “among the top five laws and regulations most cited as having significantly affected the cost and availability of basic banking services.” Further, the merchants’ promise of savings for consumers never happened—the merchants pocketed the savings. According to the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, after the “Durbin amendment” was implemented, 98.8 percent of merchants did not pass-along savings realized from debit regulation to consumers, and over 20 percent increased prices.

Since the “Durbin amendment” was enacted, we have seen consumer prices increase, consolidation among community banks and credit unions, and several small debit networks have gone out of business. Big-box retailers and ever-expanding e-commerce giants have been the beneficiaries of a massive financial windfall. The top retailers in the U.S. diverted an estimated \$250 billion in sales away from millions of small businesses and are now calling for government intervention in the competitive payments marketplace to increase their advantage. These are many of the same merchants, such as Wawa, Target, and Home Depot, that have suffered massive consumer payment card data breaches over the last decade and looked to financial institutions to clean up their mess and restore consumers who

The Honorable Dick Durbin, Chairman  
The Honorable Chuck Grassley, Ranking Member  
May 3, 2022  
Page 3 of 3

were harmed—all while trying to fight the interchange system that helps financial institutions aid consumers when their payment cards have been compromised at these retailers.

As the economy begins its long recovery from the pandemic, merchant groups should not be considering ways to put consumer spending at risk by interfering with the efficient way credit cards work today. Credit cards provide the lifeblood of credit availability for consumers and small businesses. Over 70 percent of U.S. GDP depends on consumer spending, and credit cards are what drive that. Efforts to extend new routing requirements to credit cards would create significant disruption to credit-issuing credit unions, specifically how they manage operational risk and the extension of credit, making the availability of credit for consumers and small business less certain.

While envisioned to help consumers, the “Durbin amendment” has instead lined the pockets of big-box retailers, with little evidence of price cuts for consumers or benefits to small merchants. Credit unions are committed to serving their members and, as such, must be able to make a reasonable return on payment card programs in order to continue to provide important consumer financial services, such as free checking accounts and member help lines when data breaches occur. The arbitrary price cap imposed by the debit interchange amendment in the Dodd-Frank Act should be repealed in order to restore some fairness to the interchange system and increase the benefits realized by a greater range of merchants and consumers. The debit interchange restrictions added in Section 1075 of the Dodd-Frank Act are a prime example of a failed policy of government interference in a free market, and to consider extending these provisions to credit cards is not only irresponsible, but also reckless. On behalf of the 130 million member-owners of credit unions around the country who would stand to suffer, we urge you to reject any such effort.

Thank you for your attention and the opportunity to share our thoughts on this important issue. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me or Chad Adams, NAFCU’s Senior Director of Legislative Affairs, at (703) 842-2265 or [cadams@nafcu.org](mailto:cadams@nafcu.org).

Sincerely,



B. Dan Berger

cc: Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee